Evaluating the market competitiveness of reed based biofuels

ENEREED Sustainable Energy Conversion from Reed Biomass



FH Burgenland

UNIVERSITY OF APPLIED SCIENCES

BRINGT BESONDERES ZUSAMMEN

Autors: <u>Doris Rixrath</u>¹, Jürgen Krail¹ and Arne Ragossnig²

¹ University of Applied Sciences Burgenland, Pinkafeld, Austria
² UTC UmweltTechnik und GeoConsulting ZT GmbH, Vienna, Austria

Objective

- Reed from the Lake Neusiedl in Austria is investigated as energy source for thermal utilization
- Evaluation of different combustion processes, harvesting technologies, treatments, supply chains and conversion possibilities
- ⇒ Evaluate different supply chains
- ⇒ Find the best economic application scenario
- ⇒ Compare this to wood fuels (market conditions)









- Two types of harvesting equipment:
 - ordinary harvester with mow and hand-loading
 - harvester with mow and baler
- Two types of chopping technologies (2 machines)
- Several transport and storage possibilities
- Influence where transportation is placed in the production chain

System description - application scenarios



- Different application scenarios using reed chopped short and reed as pellets are investigated
- Different supply chains are examined
- ♦ 2 particular cases using 100% reed:
 - Chips: 4 chains use reed in district heating plants
 - Pellets: 4 chains use reed in domestic heating boilers



Supply chains – reed pellets, chopped reed









- Economic evaluation
 - for the fuel supply
 - for all investigated supply chains
- To get a good comparability all costs are indicated for 1t of reed (dry after harvesting)
- Data used:
 - from producers
 - field tests
 - literature or
 - own estimations

- 2 Methods:
 - direct costing approach
 - marginal abatement costs



To evaluate the supply chains:

- The process steps are calculated separately as cost objects
- Costing is done for fixed and variable costs
- Fixed costs include: capital costs (depreciation), interest on the tied capital, insurance and maintenance costs
- Variable costs consist of: salary, fuel and operating materials

Direct costing approach



Calculation scheme for fuel production costs:

| Casta for aquinmont | Depreciation (residual value=0) | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| (investment) | Insurance | | | |
| (investment) | Interest | | | |
| | Operating materials | | | |
| Costs for equipment (operating) | Repair and wear | | | |
| | Rent | | | |
| Labour costs | Salary seasonal workers | | | |
| | Depreciation | | | |
| Costs for building and | Structural maintenance | | | |
| infrastructure | Insurance | | | |
| | Interest | | | |
| | Lease | | | |
| | Tax on land | | | |



Besides the scheme what was not taken into account:

- Land use no costs are included in the calculation (no lease or tax on land)
- Chopping machine and tractor charged only for the proportion of annual operating hours, which is necessary for the processing of reed



Results economic evaluation— reed pellets 4 different supply chains



| A-P | [€ / t] | B-P | [€ / t] | C-P | [€ / t] | D-P | [€ / t] |
|-----------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|---------|---|---------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Harvesting (mow, hand loading) | 60.81 | Harvesting (mow, bawler) | 91.89 | Harvesting (mow, hand loading) 60.81 | | Harvesting (mow, bawler) | 91.89 |
| Transport (tractor) | 11.70 | Transport (tractor) | 11.85 | Transport (tractor) 11.70 | | Transport (tractor) | 11.85 |
| Storage (open area) | 0.35 | Storage (open area) | 0.35 | Storage (open area) 0.35 | | Storage (open area) | 0.35 |
| Chopping (machine A) | 11.57 | Chopping (machine A) | 11.57 | Transport (truck) | 24.17 | Transport (truck) | 27.62 |
| Transport (truck) | 11.60 | Transport (truck) | 11.60 | Chopping (machine B) 19.0 | | Chopping (machine B) | 19.01 |
| Pelletizing | 38.27 | Pelletizing | 38.27 | Pelletizing 38.27 | | Pelletizing | 38.27 |
| Transport (truck) | 4.64 | Transport (truck) | 4.64 | Transport (truck) | 4.64 | Transport (truck) | 4.64 |
| Sum | 139 | Sum | 170 | Sum | 159 | Sum | 194 |

- Basis 1t_{wb} Input
- Differences are caused by the chosen harvesting and chopping technology
- Different result if transport before or after chopping (bulk density)

Results economic evaluation— chopped reed 4 different supply chains



| A-C | [€ / t] | B-C | [€ / t] | C-C | [€ / t] | D-C | [€ / t] |
|--------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Harvesting (mow, hand loading) | 60.81 | Harvesting (mow, bawler) | 91.89 | Harvesting (mow, hand loading) | 60.81 | Harvesting (mow, bawler) | 91.89 |
| Transport (tractor) | 11.70 | Transport (tractor) | 11.85 | Transport (tractor) | 11.70 | Transport (tractor) | 11.85 |
| Storage (open area) | 0.35 | Storage (open area) | 0.35 | Storage (open area) | 0.35 | Storage (open area) | 0.35 |
| Transport (truck) | 24.17 | Transport (truck) | 27.62 | Chopping (machine A) | 11.57 | Chopping (machine A |)11.57 |
| storage) | 6.83 | storage) | 9.18 | Transport (truck) | 11.60 | Transport (truck) | 11.60 |
| Chopping (machine A) | 11.57 | Chopping (machine A) | 11.57 | | | | |
| Sum | 115 | Sum | 152 | Sum | 96 | Sum | 127 |

- Basis 1t_{wb} Input
- Differences: varying structure of supply chains ⇒ A-C and B-C extra storage hall
- Differences: harvesting technology and transport before or after chopping



- Results are compared to other fuels (wood chips and wood pellets) - typical used in the observed fields
- Calculation of an upper price limit for harvesting depending on fuel market price
- In case of producing pellets: reed is already competitive to the market price - but a proper boiler technology has to be developed to handle the specific fuel properties
- The use of chopped reed is more interesting from an economic point of view - but the price is not competitive at the moment

Upper price limit for harvesting depending on fuel



| | Unit | Chopped reed | Reed Pellets |
|---|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Upper price limit for fuel (adjusted to | | 941) | 100 |
| heating value) | [€/ t _{wb}] | 041) | 1902) |
| Transport (tractor) | [€/t _{wb}] | 11.70 | 11.70 |
| Storage (open area) | [€/t _{wb}] | 0.35 | 0.35 |
| Chopping | [€/t _{wb}] | 11.57 | 11.57 |
| Transport (truck) | [€/t _{wb}] | 11.60 | 11.60 |
| Pelletizing | [€/t _{wb}] | - | 38.27 |
| Transport (truck - pellets) | [€/t _{wb}] | - | 4.64 |
| Maximal costs for harvesting of reed | [€/t _{wb}] | 49 | 112 |

¹⁾ Source: Prices for wood chips in Burgenland: (Landwirtschaftskammer. 2012)

²⁾ Source: Average pellet prices 2003 to 2013 (Pro Pellets Austira. 2013)

Marginal abatement costs cement industry



- <u>Comparison</u> of the costs for emission avoidance with the costs for purchasing emission allowances
- Marginal abatement costs for fossil-CO₂ allows an economic comparison of different <u>emission reduction possibilities</u>
- Difficult evaluation method because of interaction:
 - the costs of avoiding any extra ton of fossil CO₂ rise with every ton
 - actions affect not only on emissions a reduction of energy demand, a change of fuel used may lead to changed fuel production costs
 - a change in fuel may cause adaptations of technology (change in fuel logistics)

Results economic evaluation – marginal abatement costs





Results economic evaluation – marginal abatement costs



- For calculation, data from own calculation of material production is used: the costs for the supply of chopped reed (supply chain C-C)
- Different <u>scenarios of fuel mixes in the calcinatory are evaluated</u>:
 - Standard scenario uses ASB (different residuals) and Petcoke
 - scenarios with different percentages of petrol coke and alternative fuels - reed (scenario A), sludge (scenario C) and waste (scenario B)
- <u>Sensitivity analyses</u> to reflect changes in market environment (energy costs, costs in emission trading market)
- <u>Factors</u> with significant influence: price of emission allowances and the price of the reference fuel (petrol coke)

Results economic evaluation— marginal abatement costs





The marginal abatement cost curve – energetic utilisation of reed compared with different fuel mixes and CO_2 emission allowances; reference uses 100% petcoke





- Using reed as a renewable fuel makes sense ⇒ regional resource
- Economic findings could enhance considerably, when inventing a more efficient harvesting technology
- Market competitiveness reed pellets are competitive to wood pellets
- Market price for wood chips cannot be reached with chopped reed
- Marginal abatement costs of fossil CO₂ in cement industry showed that due to current market conditions, other alternative fuels are preferable to reed





Thanks to all our research partners!



Project Management Industrial Conversion Thermal Conversion Small Scale Thermal Conversion Large Scale



inst. f. Verfahrenstechnik, Umweittechnik und Techn. Blowissenschaften

Technisches Büro für Maschinenbau und Energietechnik Dr. Georg Beckmann

Harvesting Technology











DI (FH) DI Doris Rixrath University of Applied Science Burgenland Steinamangerstrasse 21 A-7423 Pinkafeld Austria Tel.: +43-3357-45370-1326 Email: doris.rixrath@fh-burgenland.at





The project ENEREED is funded by the Austrian "Klima- und Energiefonds" (carried out within the research promotion scheme "NEUE ENERGIEN 2020") and co funded by the Government of the Province of Burgenland, Lafarge Zementwerke GmbH and Herz Energietechnik GmbH.

